

Explicit Form of the Evolution Operator for the Four Atoms Tavis–Cummings Model

Kazuyuki FUJII ^{*}, Kyoko HIGASHIDA [†], Ryosuke KATO [‡]
 Tatsuo SUZUKI [§], Yukako WADA [¶]
^{*,†,‡,¶}Department of Mathematical Sciences

Yokohama City University, Yokohama, 236–0027, Japan

[§]Department of Mathematical Sciences
 Waseda University, Tokyo, 169–8555, Japan

Abstract

In this letter the explicit form of evolution operator for the four atoms Tavis–Cummings model is given.

This paper is a sequel to the papers [1] and the purpose is to give an explicit form to the evolution operator of Tavis–Cummings model ([2]) with four atoms. This model is a very important one in Quantum Optics and has been studied widely, see [3] as general textbooks in quantum optics.

We are studying a quantum computation and therefore want to study the model from this point of view, namely the quantum computation based on atoms of laser-cooled and trapped linearly in a cavity. We must in this model construct a controlled NOT gate or other controlled unitary gates to perform a quantum computation, see [4] as a general introduction to this subject.

For that aim we need the explicit form of evolution operator of the models with one, two and three atoms (at least). As to the model of one atom or two atoms it is more or less known (see [5]), while it was recently given by us [5] for the three atoms.

Since we moreover succeeded in finding the explicit form for the case of four atoms we report it.

The Tavis–Cummings model (with n -atoms) that we will treat in this paper can be written as follows (we set $\hbar = 1$ for simplicity).

$$H = \omega 1_L \otimes a^\dagger a + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{(3)} \otimes \mathbf{1} + g \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sigma_i^{(+)} \otimes a + \sigma_i^{(-)} \otimes a^\dagger \right), \quad (1)$$

^{*}E-mail address : fujii@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

[†]E-mail address : s035577d@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

[‡]E-mail address : s035559g@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

[§]E-mail address : suzukita@gm.math.waseda.ac.jp

[¶]E-mail address : s035588a@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

where ω is the frequency of radiation field, Δ the energy difference of two level atoms, a and $a^\dagger$ are annihilation and creation operators of the field, and g a coupling constant, and $L = 2^n$. Here $\sigma_i^{(+)}$, $\sigma_i^{(-)}$ and $\sigma_i^{(3)}$ are given as

$$\sigma_i^{(s)} = 1_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_2 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes 1_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_2 \text{ (} i \text{ - position)} \in M(L, \mathbf{C}) \quad (2)$$

where s is $+$, $-$ and 3 respectively and

$$\sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Here let us rewrite the hamiltonian (1). If we set

$$S_+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{(+)}, \quad S_- = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{(-)}, \quad S_3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{(3)}, \quad (4)$$

then (1) can be written as

$$H = \omega 1_L \otimes a^\dagger a + \Delta S_3 \otimes \mathbf{1} + g(S_+ \otimes a + S_- \otimes a^\dagger) \equiv H_0 + V, \quad (5)$$

which is very clear. We note that $\{S_+, S_-, S_3\}$ satisfy the $su(2)$ -relation

$$[S_3, S_+] = S_+, \quad [S_3, S_-] = -S_-, \quad [S_+, S_-] = 2S_3. \quad (6)$$

However, the representation ρ defined by $\rho(\sigma_+) = S_+$, $\rho(\sigma_-) = S_-$, $\rho(\sigma_3/2) = S_3$ is a reducible representation of $su(2)$.

We would like to solve the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{d}{dt} U = H U = (H_0 + V) U, \quad (7)$$

where U is a unitary operator (called the evolution operator). We can solve this equation by using the **method of constant variation**. The result is well-known to be

$$U(t) = \left(e^{-it\omega S_3} \otimes e^{-it\omega a^\dagger a} \right) e^{-itg(S_+ \otimes a + S_- \otimes a^\dagger)} \quad (8)$$

under the resonance condition $\Delta = \omega$, where we have dropped the constant unitary operator for simplicity. Therefore we have only to calculate the term (8) explicitly, which is however a very hard task¹. In the following we set

$$A_n = S_+ \otimes a + S_- \otimes a^\dagger \quad (9)$$

for simplicity. We can determine e^{-itgA_n} for $n = 4$ (four atoms case) completely. As to the cases of $n = 1 \sim 3$ see [1].

¹the situation is very similar to that of the paper quant-ph/0312060 in [7]

Four Atoms Case In this case A_4 in (9) is written as

$$A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & & & & & & & & & & \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & & a & & & & & & & & & & \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & & & a & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & a^\dagger & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & & & a & & & & & & & & \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & a & 0 & & & & & a & & & & & & & \\ 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & & & & & & a & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & & & & & & & a & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & a^\dagger & a^\dagger & 0 & & & & & & & & a & & & & \\ a^\dagger & & & & & & & & 0 & a & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & a & & & & \\ & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & & & \\ & & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & a & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & & \\ & & & & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & \\ & & & & & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & a^\dagger & 0 & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

If we set T as

$$T \equiv$$

[illegible]

then it is not difficult to see

$$T^\dagger A_4 T =$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & & & & & & & & \\ & 0 & \sqrt{2}a & 0 & & & & & \\ & \sqrt{2}a^\dagger & 0 & \sqrt{2}a & & & & & \\ & 0 & \sqrt{2}a^\dagger & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & & & & & \\ & & & & 0 & \sqrt{2}a & 0 & & \\ & & & & \sqrt{2}a^\dagger & 0 & \sqrt{2}a & & \\ & & & & 0 & \sqrt{2}a^\dagger & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & \sqrt{2}a & 0 \\ & & & & & & \sqrt{2}a^\dagger & 0 & \sqrt{2}a \\ & & & & & & 0 & \sqrt{2}a^\dagger & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 2a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 2a^\dagger & 0 & \sqrt{6}a & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & \sqrt{6}a^\dagger & 0 & \sqrt{6}a & 0 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{6}a^\dagger & 0 & 2a \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2a^\dagger & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

 $\equiv 0 \oplus B_1 \oplus 0 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_2.$

This means a well-known decomposition of spin

$$\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = (0 \oplus 1) \otimes \frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{3}{2} \right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 2.$$

Since we have calculated e^{-itgB_1} in [1] we have only to do e^{-itgB_2} , which is however very hard. The result is

$$\exp(-itgB_2) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} f_2(N+2) & 0 & h_1(N+2)a^2 & 0 & k_0(N+2)a^4 \\ 0 & f_1(N+1) & 0 & h_0(N+1)a^2 & 0 \\ h_1(N)(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & f_0(N) & 0 & h_{-1}(N)a^2 \\ 0 & h_0(N-1)(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & f_{-1}(N-1) & 0 \\ k_0(N-2)(a^\dagger)^4 & 0 & h_{-1}(N-2)(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & f_{-2}(N-2) \end{pmatrix} + \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2iF_1(N+2)a & 0 & -2iH_0(N+2)a^3 & 0 \\ -2iF_1(N+1)a^\dagger & 0 & -\frac{i}{2}H_1(N+1)a & 0 & -2iH_0(N+1)a^3 \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2}H_1(N)a^\dagger & 0 & -\frac{i}{2}H_{-1}(N)a & 0 \\ -2iH_0(N-1)(a^\dagger)^3 & 0 & -\frac{i}{2}H_{-1}(N-1)a^\dagger & 0 & -2iF_{-1}(N-1)a \\ 0 & -2iH_0(N-2)(a^\dagger)^3 & 0 & -2iF_{-1}(N-2)a^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &\equiv 1 + 4(N-1)\{(u_+/\lambda_+)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (u_-/\lambda_-)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d} \\ f_1 &\equiv (u_+ \cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - u_- \cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-})/\sqrt{d}, \\ f_0 &\equiv 1 + 2\{(v_+ w_+/\lambda_+)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (v_- w_-/\lambda_-)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{-1} &\equiv (u_+ \cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_-} - u_- \cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_+})/\sqrt{d}, \\
f_{-2} &\equiv 1 + 4(N+2)\{(u_+/\lambda_-)(\cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1) - (u_-/\lambda_+)(\cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
h_1 &\equiv 2\{(v_+/\lambda_+)(\cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (v_-/\lambda_-)(\cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
h_0 &\equiv (\cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_+} - \cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_-})/\sqrt{d}, \\
h_{-1} &\equiv 2\{(w_+/\lambda_+)(\cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (w_-/\lambda_-)(\cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
k_0 &\equiv 4\{(1/\lambda_+)(\cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (1/\lambda_-)(\cos tg \sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1 &\equiv \{(u_+/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_+} - (u_-/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_-}\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
F_{-1} &\equiv \{(u_+/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_-} - (u_-/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_+}\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
H_1 &\equiv 2\{(v_+/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_+} - (v_-/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_-}\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
H_0 &\equiv \{(1/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_+} - (1/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_-}\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
H_{-1} &\equiv 2\{(w_+/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_+} - (w_-/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg \sqrt{\lambda_-}\}/\sqrt{d}
\end{aligned}$$

, and $d = d(N)$, $\lambda_{\pm} = \lambda_{\pm}(N)$, $u_{\pm} = u_{\pm}(N)$, $v_{\pm} = v_{\pm}(N)$ and $w_{\pm} = w_{\pm}(N)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\pm}(N) &\equiv 10N + 5 \pm 3\sqrt{d(N)}, \quad u_{\pm}(N) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(-3 \pm \sqrt{d(N)}), \\
v_{\pm}(N) &\equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(2N - 1 \pm \sqrt{d(N)}), \quad w_{\pm}(N) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}(2N + 3 \pm \sqrt{d(N)}), \\
d(N) &\equiv 4N^2 + 4N + 9.
\end{aligned}$$

This form is very complicated. We note that in the process of calculation we used Mathematica to the fullest.

A comment is in order. We would like to generalize the results in this paper and [1] to the cases of more than four atoms. However, it is not easy to perform it due to some technical reasons. There is a (big ?) gap between the four atoms and the five ones.

We obtained the explicit form of evolution operator of the Tavis–Cummings model for the case of three and four atoms, so many applications to quantum optics or mathematical physics will be expected, see for example [5]. In the near future we will apply the result to a quantum computation based on atoms of laser-cooled and trapped linearly in a cavity [6].

We conclude this paper by making a comment. The Tavis–Cummings model is based on (only) two energy levels of atoms. However, an atom has in general infinitely many energy levels, so it is natural to use this possibility. We are also studying a quantum computation based on multi-level systems of atoms (a qudit theory) [7]. Therefore we would like to extend the Tavis–Cummings model based on two-levels to a model based on multi-levels. This is a very challenging task.

References

- [1] K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : Explicit Form of Solution of Two Atoms Tavis-Cummings Model, quant-ph/0403008 ; K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato, T. Suzuki and Y. Wada : Explicit Form of the Evolution Operator for the Three Atoms Tavis-Cummings Model, quant-ph/0404034.
- [2] E. T. Jaynes and F. W. Cummings : Comparison of Quantum and Semiclassical Radiation Theories with Applications to the Beam Maser, Proc. IEEE 51(1963), 89 ; M. Tavis and F. W. Cummings : Exact Solution for an N-Molecule-Radiation-Field Hamiltonian, Phys. Rev. 170(1968), 379.
- [3] L. Allen and J. H. Eberly : Optical Resonance and Two-Level Atoms, Wiley, New York, 1975 ; P. Meystre and M. Sargent III : Elements of Quantum Optics (third edition), Springer-Verlag, 1990 ; Claude Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc and G. Grynberg : Atom-Photon Interactions ; Basic Processes and Applications, Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [4] K. Fujii : Introduction to Grassmann Manifolds and Quantum Computation, J. Applied Math, 2(2002), 371, quant-ph/0103011.
- [5] M. Orszag, R. Ramirez, J. C. Retamal and C. Saavedra : Quantum cooperative effects in a micromaser, Phys. Rev. A 49 (1994), 2933 ; M. S. Kim, J. Lee, D. Ahn and P. L. Knight : Entanglement induced by a single-mode heat environment, Phys. Rev. A 65 (2002), 040101, quant-ph/0109052 ; C. Genes, P. R. Berman and a. G. Rojo : Spin squeezing via atom - cavity field coupling, quant-ph/0306205 ;
- [6] K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : Cavity QED and Quantum Computation in the Weak Coupling Regime (temporary), in preparation.
- [7] K. Fujii : Exchange Gate on the Qudit Space and Fock Space, J. Opt. B : Quantum Semiclass. Opt, 5(2003), S613, quant-ph/0207002 ; K. Fujii : Quantum Optical Construction of Generalized Pauli and Walsh-Hadamard Matrices in Three Level Systems, quant-ph/0309132 ; K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : N Level System with RWA and Analytical Solutions Revisited, quant-ph/0307066 ; K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : A Rabi Oscillation in Four and Five Level Systems, quant-ph/0312060 ; K. Funahashi : Explicit Construction of Controlled-U and Unitary Transformation in Two-Qudit, quant-ph/0304078.